

Mandenkan Bulletin semestriel d'études linguistiques mandé

51 | 2014 Grammatical Sketch of Beng

# Morphology of content words

Section 6

### **Denis Paperno**



### Electronic version

URL: https://journals.openedition.org/mandenkan/559 DOI: 10.4000/mandenkan.559 ISSN: 2104-371X

Publisher Llacan UMR 8135 CNRS/Inalco

#### **Printed version**

Date of publication: 1 June 2014 Number of pages: 30-42 ISSN: 0752-5443

#### Electronic reference

Denis Paperno, "Morphology of content words", *Mandenkan* [Online], 51 | 2014, Online since 01 June 2014, connection on 08 July 2021. URL: http://journals.openedition.org/mandenkan/559 ; DOI: https://doi.org/10.4000/mandenkan.559

This text was automatically generated on 8 July 2021.



Les contenus de *Mandenkan* sont mis à disposition selon les termes de la Licence Creative Commons Attribution - Pas d'Utilisation Commerciale - Partage dans les Mêmes Conditions 4.0 International.

# Morphology of content words

Section 6

### **Denis Paperno**

- Much of Beng's inflexional and derivational morphology is suffixal. Beng suffixes include the inflexional -nā (affirmative perfect), -lὲ (stative), -lɛló (progressive), -sà (negative perfect), and the derivational -lɛ (nominalization), -ya (location nominalization), -pɔ (means nominalization), -yà (goal converb), -li (agent nominalization), -lē (participle), -dēŋ (profession suffix), -lèi (suffix that forms temporal adverbs from nouns that refer to parts of day cycle, e.g. drúlὲi 'in the morning' from drú 'morning').
- 2 Beng also has elements that could be labeled as 'verbal prefixes', which precede the verb stem and form a semantic unit with it, e.g. wó 'in' within wólā 'to ask about,' literally 'to ask in,' yé 'mouth' on yébū 'to feed,' literally 'to carry mouth'. Such 'prefixes' do not change their tone in the low tone form. However, structurally such elements are not true prefixes but (part of) a direct object of the verb since they can be separated from the verb stem under passivization. The semantic object of predicates like wólā 'to ask about' can therefore be seen structurally as the possessor of the dummy noun rather than a full direct object.
- <sup>3</sup> The morphemes discussed here as suffixes are defined on distributional basis, with the main criterion being separability: unlike free standing morphemes from closed classes, e.g. personal pronouns, determiners, postpositions, etc., suffixes cannot be separated from the heads they combine with. For instance the negation marker follows the main verb of a sentence but can be separated from it by adverbs, indirect objects, etc.; on the other hand, verbal suffixes of positive or negative perfect, stative, or nominalization suffixes, always attach at the end of the verb stem and cannot be separated from it by any material. Similarly, while determiners and postpositions can be separated from the noun they combine with by adjectives, suffixes  $-d\bar{e}\bar{\eta}$  and  $-l\dot{e}i$  always attach to the noun stem and don't allow for interveners.
- 4 I also discuss below one element, ná, that fails to show unseparability from the head it combines with, but has tonal behavior typical for suffixes. So ná cannot be

1

characterized as a suffix but rather as a phrasal suffix, since it combines with phrases rather than stems; see examples below.

# 6.1. Tonal changes in suffixation

### 6.1.1. Mobile tone suffixes

- <sup>5</sup> Some suffixes bear a high or a low tone depending on context. Those suffixes, which I call mobile tone suffixes, have a high tone after H, LH, MH, i.e. after a high tone element, and a low tone otherwise.
- Another unit that exhibits the same tonal behavior is the attributive marker ng. This phrasal suffix attaches to noun phrases (which can consist of a single noun), forming adjective phrases with the meaning 'having X', 'characterized by X', for example: *jr*ă 'poverty' – *jr*à ng 'poor',  $bl\bar{u}$  'sorcery' –  $bl\bar{u}$  ng 'sorcerer',  $l\acute{e}\eta pl\bar{a}\bar{\eta}$  'two children' –  $l\acute{e}\eta pl\bar{a}\bar{\eta}$ ng 'having two children'. These constituents exhibit the behavior of adjective phrases: they typically modify a noun (although, as with other adjectives one also finds them substantivized), and when modifying a noun they are always postposed rather than preposed; as discussed in 7.2, fixed position with respect to the modified noun is a feature distinguishing nouns from adjectives in Beng. When attaching to placenames, the attributive marker ng produces the meaning 'resident of', e.g., Aságbě'Ouassadougou' – Aságbè ng 'resident of Ouassadougou',  $b\bar{a}$  wó 'savanna' –  $b\bar{a}$  wó ng'savanna dweller'. The attributivizer can also attach to full noun phrases with determiners:

(21)	Ŋ	(gbě	bÉĒ	bilè)	nà	dō	yè	gblē.	
	1SG :PST+	village	big	this	ATR	one	see:L	yesterday	
	'I saw on	'I saw one resident of this big village yesterday'.							

### 6.1.2. Low tone suffixes

7 One syllable suffixes with a low tone undergo a shift of a H tone element of a preceding contour tone, so that LH.L>L.HL and MH.L>M.HL. Examples: {drǔ (LH)+ sà [1]} ⇒ /drù [1] sâ (HL)/ (negative perfect form of the verb 'to walk'), {zrä (MH) + yà [1]} ⇒ /zrā (M) yâ (HL)/ (goal converb of the verb 'to lose'). In verbal reduplication, the right reduplicant also shows tonal behavior of a low tone suffix, cf. the reduplicated form of the same verb 'to walk' {drǔ (LH) + drù [1]} => /drù [1] drû (HL)/ 'to walk back and forth'.

### 6.1.3. Other suffixes

8 Suffixes with lexical high (*-li*, agent nominalization) or mid tone (*-nā*, perfect), show no tone alternations.

### 6.1.4. Stems ending in L tone

9 The final L tone element of a verb stem is deleted before the attachment of suffixes. If the L is part of a contour tone, L simplifies, and the contour tone becomes level, e.g. túà 'to leave' - túá-lé (nominalization). If the low tone characterizes a whole syllable, the tone of the preceding syllable spreads to replace L. The latter situation is typical for reduplicated verbs, cf. examples of nominalization of such verbs: wláwlà 'to smile' wláwlá-lé, mỹmí 'to suck' - mỹmỹ-lè.

### 6.1.5. The verb blö 'to press out'

10 The verb blö 'to press out' changes its lexical tone from MH to H when combining with suffixes, cf. the progressive form blóźló instead of the regular \*blōźló, nominalization blólź instead of the regular \*blōlź etc.

# 6.2. Nominalization in -lɛ

11 The suffix  $-l\varepsilon$  forms action (or event) nominalization of verbs:

(22)	[DrĒ	wō-lÈ]		ò	gēŋ.	
	work	do-nmi	Z	3SG:HAB+	good	
	'To wo	ork is good'.				

(23)	À	gbě	tá-lÉ	zá	fù	āŋ	wó.	
	3SG	village	leave-NMLZ	matter	suprise:L	1PL	in	
	'His departure from the village took us by surprise'.							

(24)	i	ó	[báý	klá-lé]	lā-àló	Kùàjó	nìi.
	Kofi	ST+	trap	set-NMLZ	show-prog	Kouadio	BENEF
	'Kofi teaches Kouadio to set traps'.						

(25)	Bè-lÉ	kā	ó	mį	mà?		
	run-NMLZ	need	ST+	2SG	CONT		
	'Do you want to run?'						

- 12 The suffix -*lɛ* nominalizes various predicates. It can attach to verbs (nū 'to come' nūlɛ́ '(the) coming'), adjectives (gɛ̄ŋ 'beautiful' gɛ̄nɛ́ 'beauty'), and a few nouns (lɔ̃ 'slave' lɔ̀lɛ́ 'slavery').
- 13 In some usages, verbal stems with the suffix  $-l\varepsilon$  function like participles, relativizing the semantic object:

(26)	gā	wī-lÈ
	foot	swell-NMLZ
	'swollen foot' (can also be	interpreted as 'swelling of feet')

(27)	Ŋ-ó	zrìjì	kásié-lÉ	lú.			
	1SG-ST	corn	roast-NMLZ	buy			
	'I'll buy roasted corn'.						

(28)	Ŋ-ó	ŋ	gā	yrò-lé	búénÉló.	
	1SG-ST+	1SG	foot	wrench-NMLZ	steam.PROG	
	'I am steaming my wrenched foot'.					

14 Interestingly, there are examples where the definite article  $l\dot{\epsilon}$  and the demonstrative element  $b\dot{i}$ , which normally follow all adjectives in a noun phrase, precede the "participial" nominalization in  $-l\epsilon$ , and the semantic head can even be doubled by an object pronoun, as is regular for direct objects:

(29)	Ŋ-ó	[[zrìjì	bi-lè] <sub>NP</sub>	a()	kásíé-lÉ] <sub>NP</sub>	lú.			
	1SG-ST+	corn	this-def	3SG	roast-NMLZ	buy			
	'I will buy this roasted corn'.								

15 The determiners can also follow the "participial" deverbal noun:

(30)	Ŋ-ó	[zrìjì	kásié-lÉ	bi-lè]	a()	lú.	
	1SG-ST+	corn	roast-nmlz	this-def	3SG	buy	
	'I will buy this roasted corn'.						

4

Native speakers report a subtle contrast betweeen (29) and (30), whereby (29) can be interpreted as 'I will buy this corn roasted'. The exact syntactic structure of (29) is not entirely clear. We might be dealing with some kind of partitive or possessive construction ('the roast of this corn'), as indicated by the tentative syntactic bracketing in (29). On the other hand, the translation of (29) suggests that kásiélé might be a secondary predicate, although the preverbal position of kásiélé contrasts with all wellestablished instances of secondary predicates in Beng (see 12.2.4), which follow the main verb of the sentence rather than precede it as does kásiélé in (29). I leave the question of whether the preverbal position of secondary predicates, as attested in cognate languages, is also available in Beng, for further study. Whatever the exact syntactic scope of the determiner and the "participle": in one case, one talks about the (*this corn*) *roasted*, while in the other case we hear about *this (roasted corn)*, reflecting the ordering of the two attributes of corn.

# 6.3. Locative nominalization in -ya

17 The mobile tone suffix *-ya* combines with verbs, adjectives, all locative nouns including locative postpositions, and a few nouns denoting social relations. From the distributional viewpoint derivatives in *-ya* are locative nouns, i.e. nouns that can be used in adverbial positions without a postposition:

(31)	Ŋ	ný	рĴ	blē-yà.
	1SG:PST+	come:L	thing	eat-PLC
	'I came to the eating place'.			

18 When derived from a verb, the *-ya* form refers to the place or time of an event. There's a systematic ambiguity between the temporal and the spacial readings, although context often helps to differentiate the two:

(32)	Ŋ-ó	zrō-yá	dō-òló.			
	1SG-ST+	wash-PLC	build-prog			
	'I build a bathing place'.					

(33)	Мằ	zrō-yá	yè	έ.		
	1SG:PST-	wash-PLC	have:L	NEG		
	'I had no time (or no place) to wash'.					

19 A derived form in *-ya* referring to the time of an action and used in an adverbial position indicates simultaneity of two events:

(34)	ŋò	trí-yá	nģ	ŋò-ó	dă	ŋ	ló	n <u>ว</u> ิ.
	3PL	return-PLC	ТОР	3PL-ST	find	1SG	on	here
	'On the way back (literally 'returning') they will find me here'.							

20 When the derived form in *-ya* is used in this function of a simultaneity converb, and the subject of action referred to in the *ya*-form is not overtly mentioned in a pronoun or a full NP, the said subject has to be coreferential with the subject of the main clause, cf.:

(35)	i/*j	DrĒ	wō-yà	nģ	mấ <sub>i</sub>	ŋò <sub>j</sub>	yè.	
		work	do-plc	тор	1SG:PST+	3PL	see:L	
	'I saw them when I (*they) worked'.							

In case the subject of the *ya*-converb is overt, it does not have to be coreferential with the main clause subject, cf. (35) and (36):

(36)	Ŋòj	drĒ	wō-yà	nģ	mấ <sub>i</sub>	ŋòj	yè.
	3PL	work	do-plc	тор	1SG:PST+	3PL	see:L
'I saw them when they worked'.							

<sup>22</sup> The form in *-ya* derived from adjectives refers to the place in which the property denoted by the adjective is localized, e.g.:

(37)	BànE()	уā	nģ	à	gĒŋ-yà	пĒ	ó	lé	à	lo)klē	lÈ	Ē
	Bane	EMPH	тор	3SG	beautiful-PLC	FOC	3SG:PST+	COP:L	3SG	neck	DEF	FOC
	'Bane's neck makes him beautiful'				literally: his neck)		ane, it	is the pla	ce of	his beauty,		

With locative nouns, -ya has the meaning of 'extended localization' and is an exact semantic equivalent of the suffix -da:-/-dar- in Bezhta (Daghestanian; Kibrik, Testelec 2004) which is added to suffixes of localization like 'in', 'on top of', etc., and means 'in (the direction of) the area of whatever is specified by localization proper', compare märäL'ä 'on top of the mountain' vs. märäL'ädä: '(somewhere) in the area on top of the mountain' (Kibrik 2003: 44). Compare:

(38a)	Ko⊖sģ	ta⊖-nā	wla).			
	Kosan	3SG:PST+:go-PRF	house			
	'Kosan has gone home'.					

(38b)	Ko⊖sģ	ta⊖-nā	wla©-ya⊙.		
	Kosan	3SG:PST+:go-PRF	house-PLC		
	'Kosan has gone towards home'.				

(39a)	Ko⊖sá	ta⊖-nā	ziŌeŌ	lu).
	Kosan	3SG:PST+:go-PRF	kapok	under
	'Kosan	has gone under	the kapo	k tree'.

(39b)	Ko⊖sá	ta⊖-nā	zi©e©	lu)-ya).
	Kosan	3SG:PST+:go-PRF	kapok	under-PLC
	'Kosan h	as gone towards t	he area under the	kapok tree'.

(40a)	ó	jÉ	wla ()	weŌ.		
	3SG:PST+ pass house		there			
	'He passed through the house'.					

(40b)	Ó	jÉ	wla©-ya©	we().			
	3SG:PST+	pass	house-PLC	there			
	'He passed by the house'.						

<sup>24</sup> Finally,-*ya* derived forms from some nouns denoting social relations adverbs with the meaning 'according to the social relation X':

(41)	Ŋó	ŋò	gba()	blĔ	lÈ	siāā-ya¢.	
	3PL:PST+	3PL	give:L	wine	DEF	in.law-plc	
	'They gave them wine according to in-lawhood' (e.g. everyone gave wine to his mother- law).						

(42)	Dāŋ	ní	yra⊖maQ	nģ	ŋò	lếý	nỳỳ	boÒ	so@la@si@	lờ-ya⊖.
	war	DEF	time	ТОР	3PL:HAB+	child	PL	extract	soldier	slave-PLC
	'During war, one selected children for military service by slave status' (in other words: One chose slave kids to become soldiers).									

# 6.4. Predicative forms of verbs

- <sup>25</sup> Beng uses six different verb forms in the predicate position, distinguished on the basis of tense, aspect, modality, and polarity. For more information on their usage, see 12.1.
- Two of the predicative forms do not bear affixes and are distinguished by tone. In one of those affix-free forms, the tone is lexically specified. I call this form the **base form**. The other form bears a low grammatical tone; I call it the low tone form. Here are some examples of the two affix-less forms of several verbs: mī, mí 'to drink'; tá, tà 'to go'; dǎ, dà 'to drop'; zrö, zrò 'to wash'; jàtê, jàtê 'to respect'. Several verb stems keep a high tone on the last syllable constant in the low tone form, compare yāló, yàló 'to stand up', mɛlá, mɛlá 'to fall on the ground'. Paesler (1989) calls such syllables 'suffixes', although it might be more precise to characterize them as 'suffixoids' as there aren't sufficient reasons to consider them distinct morphemes from the synchronical viewpoint: they are not productive and it is hard to to differentiate their exact semantic contribution. All verbs with suffixoids share the semantics of movement; compare the status of an etymologically identical element in Tura (Idiatov 2009).
- 27 Four predicative forms bear suffixes, and can be given more functional labels: stative; affirmative perfect; negative perfect; and progressive.
- The stative suffix  $-l\hat{e}$  and the negative perfect suffix  $-s\hat{a}$  are low tone suffixes. The suffix of affirmative perfect  $n\bar{g}$  (or sometimes  $\bar{a}$ ) bears a constant mid tone. The suffix  $n\bar{g}$  also differs from all other suffixes in that the stem-final low tone of the verb is not elided before it, contra the general rule (cf. 6.1.4):  $m\bar{g}m\hat{j}$  'to suck'  $-m\bar{g}m\hat{j}n\bar{g}$ ,  $drudr\hat{u}$  'to walk a lot'  $drudr\hat{u}n\bar{g}$ ,  $tu\hat{a}$  'to leave'  $tua\hat{c}n\bar{g}$ . An idiosyncratic exception is the verb  $g\bar{u}\hat{a}\hat{c}$  'to remain', perfect form  $g\bar{u}\bar{g}n\bar{g}$ ).
- <sup>29</sup> The progressive marker -*l* $\epsilon$ *l* $\delta$  consists of two elements: -*l* $\delta$ , grammaticalized from the postposition 'on', and -*l* $\epsilon$ , derived from the nominalization marker, which bears a mobile tone and has surface variants -*l* $\epsilon$  and - $\epsilon$  (the latter can be seen as the result of [1] deletion). The vowel in the - $\epsilon$  variant normally assimilates to the immediately preceding vowel in nasality and quality. It becomes a after a and  $\mathcal{D}$  after rounded vowels, and remains  $\epsilon$  after front vowels.
- 30 Along with mobile tone, the progressive form is also attested with low tone on the -lε / -ε component. So along with the more frequent progressive form tááló, the verb tá 'to go' has a rare form táàló, the progressive drùóló of drǔ 'to walk' has a rare variant drùôló, etc.
- <sup>31</sup> Verbs with a low tone on the last syllable and a mid tone on the penultimate syllable (mostly reduplicated verbs), have a special tonal behavior in the progressive. Unlike in other suffixed forms, the final low tone of those verbs is not deleted, cf. the progressive

 $m \tilde{l} m \tilde{l} \tilde{l} \delta$  of  $m \tilde{l} m \tilde{l}$  'to suck' vs. L deletion in the nomilanization  $m \tilde{l} m \tilde{l} \delta$ , location nominalization  $m \tilde{l} m \tilde{l} \delta$  etc.

<sup>32</sup> The progressive marker is clearly segmentable into the nominalization suffix *-l* $\varepsilon$  and the locative postposition *l* $\acute{o}$ . However, the [l] deletion and the abovementioned tonal idiosyncrasies (the *mimíclo* and *drùôl* $\acute{o}$  types) formally distinguish the progressive from nominalization.

### 6.5. The goal converb

<sup>33</sup> The goal of motion converb is derived with the low tone suffix -yÀ, distinct from the location nominalization suffix -ya that bears a mobile tone. For the verbal stems ending in a non-high tone element the two forms are identical. E.g.  $m_{i}$ yà, the location nominalization, is at the same time the goal converb of  $m_{i}$  'to drink'. For stems ending in a high tone, the two forms differ, cf. jóyá 'time or place of talking' (locative nominalization) vs. jóyà 'in order to talk' (goal converb) from jó 'to talk'. The goal converb's distribution is limited to combinations with only three motion verbs. With the verbs tá 'to go' and  $n_{i}$  'to come' the converb indicates the goal of motion. The combination of these two verbs with the goal converb can also be used as a periphrastic future construction similar to the English to be going to, see 12.1.5. With the verb  $b\bar{2}$  'to come (from)' the goal converb indicates the subject's actions at the point of departure:

(43a)	ń	ný	drù-yâ.			
	1SG:PST+	come:L	walk-GL			
	'I came for a walk'.					

(43b)	(*ń	drĒ	wò	drù-yâ.)		
	1SG:PST+	work	do:L	walk-GL		
	(*I worked to walk.)					

(44)	ń	bó	drù-yâ.				
	1SG:PST+	come.form:L	walk-GL				
	'I came from a walk'.						

# 6.6. Agent and means nominalizations

34 In addition to the event nominalization in *-lɛ* and the location/time nominalization in *- ya*, which we have already discussed, Beng also has suffixes for the agent and the means nominalizations.

<sup>35</sup> The means nominalization, formed with the mobile tone suffix  $-p_{\mathcal{D}}$  (derived from the noun  $p\bar{\mathcal{D}}$  'thing'), can refer to the instrument, the means, or the cause of an event:

	(45)	B`eya⊙	à	lēŋ	túá-pÓ	lé	blĚ	lÈ.	
		Beyan 35G woman		leave-men	3SG:PST+COP:L	wine	DEF		
Ī		'Alcohol was the reason of Beyan's divorce'.							

(46)	Ŋ	yā-pÌ	dō	lù.				
	1SG:PST	move-MEN	one	buy:L				
	'I bought an instrument for moving around' (this could be shoes, a car, a bicycle etc.).							

<sup>36</sup> The agent nominalization in *-li* relativizes the subject and can have arbitrary aspectual or temporal interpretation:

(47)	Ŋ	рĴ	blē-li	lè	yè.			
	1SG:PST+	thing	eat-AG	DEF	see:L			
	'I saw the eater' (the one who eats / was eating / will eat etc.).							

<sup>37</sup> But usually, the agent nominalization refers to the habitual rather than episodic agent:

(48)	рĴ	béē	blē-ľi,	drĒ	wō-lí,	sỳỳ	dĒ-ĺi,	jó-ľi	
	thing	big	eat-AG	work	do-ag	human	kill-ag	talk-AG	
	ʻglutton, worker, murderer, talker'								

(49)	Dēlà	ó	lé	νΙὸუνΙό-Ιί	béē	dō.					
	Dela	3SG:PST+	COP:L	worry-AG	big	one					
	'Dela (ma	'Dela (male name) is easy to disturb'. (literally: 'Dela is a great worryer'.)									

# 6.7. Relics of the participle

<sup>38</sup> The suffix *-le* forms adjectives with resulting state meanings from several verbs. The verb's stem changes its tone from M to L when combining with *-le*. Here are all the attested examples:

 $g\bar{a}$  'to die, to dry out' –  $g\dot{a}l\bar{e}$  'dead, dry'

mā 'to boil' - màlē 'boiled'
māmà 'to ripen' - màmàlē 'ripe'
yūā 'to burn' - yùàlē 'burned'
pā 'to fill' - pàlē 'filled'
tā 'to close' - tàlē 'closed'
trā 'to redden, to ripen' - tràlē 'red, ripe'
võ 'to rot' - võlē 'rotten'

39 Suffix -le combined with the verb ba 'to bear fruit' produces a somewhat irregular meaning: bàle, pɔbàle 'seeds, plants'

### 6.8. Reduplication

### 6.8.1. The formal aspect of reduplication

- 40 In Beng, reduplication is generally full, applying to stems of adjectives, verbs, numerals, and some adverbs and nouns. The major exception to the full reduplication pattern is the fact that in verb reduplication, only the segmental base is repeated. The tonal pattern of the original stem stays on the first part of the reduplicated verb, while the second part gets a low tone:  $m\bar{g}$  'to drink' –  $m\bar{g}m\bar{g}$  'to suck',  $g\bar{a}$  'to dry out'-  $g\bar{a}g\bar{a}$  'to dry out (referring to multiple objects)', só 'to chew' – sósò 'to thin down', yāló 'to stand up' – yālóyàlò 'to stand up (referring to multiple people)'. If the last syllable of the original verb stem has a contour tone, the latter component of the contour tone spreads to the following syllable, by general rule (see 6.1.2): fã 'to strip'→ fãfâ → fâfâ (to strip repeatedly', dă 'to drop' → dǎdà → dàdâ 'to put in (multiple objects)', blä 'to stick in' → bläblà → blāblâ (to stick in (multiple objects)'. Reduplicated verb stems form all predicative and derivational verb forms by general rules.
- Adjective reduplication is usually complete with respect to both segmental and tonal 41 patterns, compare:  $q\bar{e}\bar{\eta}$  'beautiful' –  $q\bar{e}\bar{\eta}q\bar{e}\bar{\eta}$  'beautiful (plural)', cǎ 'short' – cǎcǎ (plural), blúá 'blue' – blúáblúá (plural). However, long vowels at the end of adjectives can shorten in reduplicated forms. The conditions of this shortening are not clear. Sometimes reduplicated adjectives do not exhibit any shortening, cf. fééféé 'very narrow' (in reference to a hole) from féé 'narrow' (in reference to a hole), piipii 'very tiny' from pii 'tiny', fóofóo 'very deep' from fóo 'deep', pòòpòòpòòpòò 'very malleable' from pòòpòò 'malleable'. Sometimes shortening occurs only in the first part of the reduplicated form, cf. tétéé very red' from téé 'red', fifú 'very narrow' from fú 'narrow', kótikótú 'very little' from kóťii 'little', tíťii 'very black' from tíi 'black', yóyóó 'very cool' from yóó 'cool'. The third group of adjectives shorten the final vowel in both parts of the reduplicated form: bètèbètè 'very slow' from bètèè 'slow', kpɔ̀sɔ̀kpɔ̀sɔ̀ 'very grainy' from kpòsòò 'grainy (texture)', mòtòmòtò 'very soft' from mòtòò 'soft', nòfònòfò 'very elastic' from  $n\partial f \partial \partial$  'elastic'. For púú 'white', two reduplicated forms are attested in my notes, púpúú in the sense of 'very white' and púpú in the sense of 'white (plural)'. It is not clear if there is a regular relation between the shortening pattern and the intensive vs. plural interpretation that this pair of examples seems to point at.
- 42 Stem-final /ŋ/ can cause a change in the first consonant of the second half of a reduplicated form. Some of those forms exhibit ŋC simplification (see 4.2.1), e.g. plāmlāŋ 'two each' < /plāŋ plāŋ / (reduplication of plāŋ 'two'), buāmuāŋ 'thirty each' < /buāŋ buāŋ / (reduplication of buāŋ 'thirty'); such simplification is not regular, cf. bukēŋēsíéŋ bukēŋēsíéŋ 'eighty each' (reduplication of bukēŋēsíéŋ 'eighty') without</p>

simplification. Fricatives are not subject to  $\eta C$  simplification but undergo voicing after /  $\eta$ / in a reduplicated form, e.g.  $f \partial \dot{\eta} v \partial \dot{\eta}$  'cloudy' <  $/f \partial \dot{\eta} f \partial \dot{\eta}/$  (reduplication of  $f \partial \dot{\eta}$  'having shade');  $s \delta \eta z \delta \eta$  'five each' <  $/s \delta \eta s \delta \eta /$  (reduplication of  $s \delta \eta$  'five').

<sup>43</sup> Two adjectives, glë 'difficult' and  $b\dot{\varepsilon}\bar{\varepsilon}$  'big', are exceptions to full reduplication at the tone level. Their reduplicated forms are gléglë and  $b\dot{\varepsilon}b\bar{\varepsilon}$  respectively.

### 6.8.2. Semantics of reduplication

<sup>44</sup> The semantic effect of reduplication is similar across parts of speech, always adding a quantitative component to the meaning. In adjectives, reduplication may indicate plurality ('more than one object'), cf. (50a) and (51), or property intensity (52b):

(50a)	sỳỳ	gĒŋ~gĒŋ	(nù̀ŋ)				
	person beautiful~PL		PL				
	'handsome people'						

(50b)	sỳỳ	gĒŋ	nù'n			
	person	beautiful	PL			
	'handsome people'					

(51)	sỳỳ	gbl£j¯ŋ~gbl£j¯ŋ	//	gblĒŋ	nù'n	
	person	tall~PL		tall	PL	
	'tall people'					

(52a)	gĪŋ	dời
	man	first
	'the first man'	

(52b)	gĪŋ	dời~dời	
	man	first~very	
	'the very first man'		

For some adjectives, the reduplicated form is used only in the function of plural, cf. the ungrammatical NP  $s_{2}\hat{j}$  gbl $\bar{\xi}\bar{\eta}$  gbl $\bar{\xi}\bar{\eta}$  do 'one (very) tall person'.

<sup>46</sup> The adjective  $b\hat{\varepsilon}\bar{\varepsilon}$  'big' is unique in restricting the non-reduplicated form to the singular and allowing only the reduplicated one in the plural (*kló* 'little' shows a similar number distinction but produces the plural form by suppletion, not reduplication). Unlike  $gbl\bar{\varepsilon}\bar{y}$ , which shows variation in the plural,  $b\hat{\varepsilon}\bar{\varepsilon}$  has complementary distribution of the two forms:

(53a)	дЭŋ	béē (/ *bé~bē)	dō
	man	big / *big~PL	one
	'one big man'		

(53b)	gɔ̃ŋ	bé~bē ( /*béē)	nù'n
	man	big~PL / *big	PL
	'big men'		

47 Apart from irregular idiomatic meaning, **verb reduplication** can add iterativity, as in (54b), or plurality of a participant, as in (55b,d):

(54a)	Ŋ-ó	drù-Śló.	
	1SG-ST+	walk-prog	
	'I am walking'.		

(54b)	Ŋ-ó	drù~drú-Śló.
	1SG-ST+	walk~ITER-PROG
	'I am walking (re	peatedly back and forth)'.

(55a)	ŏ	bè-Éló.
	3SG <b>:</b> ST+	run-PROG
	'He is running'.	

(55b)	ŏ	bè~bé-Éló.
	3SG <b>:</b> ST+	run~ITER-PROG
	'He is running (repeatedly	back and forth)' (event plurality).

(55c)	ŋŏ	bè-Éló.	
	3SG:ST+	run-PROG	
	'They are running'.		

(55d)	Ŋŏ	bè~bé-Éló.
	3SG:ST+	run~PL /~ITER-PROG
	'They are running' (partricipant plural plurality).	ity) or 'They are running (back and forth)' (event

- <sup>48</sup> Verb reduplication indicating participant plurality can be seen as ergative number agreement, i.e. the participant that controls the agreement is the direct object or the intransitive subject. This agreement is semantic rather than syntactic in nature.
- 49 Reduplication of cardinal numerals produces distributive ones:

(56)	Nà	дЭŋ	blÈɲà	ŋò	nā	plāmlāŋ	ກູລົກູລົກົ.
	DT	man	rich	3PL:HAB+	wife	two~distr	three~DISTR
	'Rich people used to have two or three wives each'.						

(Note two instances of  $\eta C$  simplification in reduplicated forms in example 56; see 4.2.1.)

50 Finally, the reduplicated form of temporal nouns (see 7.1 for a brief discussion of this class) also has a distributive interpretation ('on Fridays', 'nightly', etc.). This reduplication pattern is productive for the following classes of words: a) names of days in the traditional six-day week; b) names of days in the seven-day week borrowed from the Baule; and c) names of parts of the day cycle pàló 'daytime', yēnɔ̆ 'evening', drú 'morning', yrú 'night'. Example of usage:

[	(57)	Yrú~yrú	nģ	ģ	ýi.	
		night~DISTR	тор	1SG:HAB+	sleep	
		'At night I (generally) sleep'.				

# AUTHOR

### **DENIS PAPERNO**

University of Trento, Italy denis.paperno@gmail.com